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Figure 1: Development of mothers' time use for total childcare and for specific activities, adjusted average minutes on weekdays and weekends


Note. Predictions and 95\%-confidence intervals are calculated as margins at the means from the regression models in Table A2. Source: GTUS 2001/2002, 2012/2013, own calculations.

Figure 2: Development of fathers' time use for total childcare and for specific activities, adjusted average minutes on weekdays and weekends


Note. Predictions and 95\%-confidence intervals are calculated as margins at the means from the regression models in Table A3. Source: GTUS 2001/2002, 2012/2013, own calculations.

Figure 3: Education gradients of mothers' childcare time for weekdays and weekends


Note. Average marginal effects of education and $95 \%$-confidence intervals from the regression models in Table A2. Source: GTUS 2001/2002, 2012/2013, own calculations.

Figure 4: Education gradients of fathers' childcare time for weekdays and weekends


Note. Average marginal effects of education and $95 \%$-confidence intervals from the regression models in Table A3. Source: GTUS 2001/2002, 2012/2013, own calculations.

Table 1a: Results of the decomposition analysis for mothers on weekdays and weekends, 2001/2002-2012/2013

|  | Total | Basic | Help | Play | Talk | Manage | Read |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekdays |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total change | 12.7*** | 6.1*** | -0.8 | 4.2*** | -0.1 | 1.6 | 0.6 |
| Characteristics | -0.2 | 0.2 | -0.6 | 0.3 | -0.3 | -0.4 | 0.3** |
| University degree | -0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1* | -0.2* | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1** |
| Age | -0.7 | -0.4 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.4 | 0.2* |
| Single | -0.1** | -0.1** | -0.0 | -0.0 | -0.0 *** | -0.0 | 0.0 |
| Children in household | $-0.7 * * *$ | $-0.4 * *$ | -0.3 *** | $0.4 * * *$ | $-0.2^{* * *}$ | -0.2* | 0.1* |
| Youngest child 3-5 | $1.4^{* * *}$ | $1.1^{* * *}$ | -0.1** | 0.3*** | -0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Youngest child 6-9 | 0.3 *** | $0.3^{* * *}$ | -0.0 *** | $0.1{ }^{* * *}$ | -0.0 *** | 0.0 | 0.0*** |
| Youngest child 10-12 | $-0.1^{* * *}$ | $-0.1^{* * *}$ | 0.0*** | -0.0 *** | 0.0*** | -0.0 *** | -0.0 *** |
| East Germany | -0.3 | -0.2 | -0.1* | -0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.0 |
| Coefficients | 13.0*** | $5.9 * * *$ | -0.2 | 3.9*** | 0.1 | 2.0* | 0.3 |
| University degree | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.0 | -1.0* | -0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Age | 1.7 | 11.9 | -2.5 | 5.3 | -6.1 | -9.8 | 0.9 |
| Single | 1.9 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.3* |
| Children in household | 7.1 | 6.9* | 1.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | -0.2 | -2.0** |
| Youngest child 3-5 | -0.3 | -1.3 | 0.8 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | -0.3 |
| Youngest child 6-9 | -1.3 | -2.1 | 0.4 | -0.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 | -0.4 |
| Youngest child 10-12 | -3.7 | -2.4 | 1.0 | -2.7** | 0.4 | 0.6 | -0.6 |
| East Germany | 0.7 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Constant | 7.5 | -7.0 | -1.4 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 8.4 | 2.8 |
|  | Weekends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total change | 14.0*** | 4.9** | 1.1 | $5.7{ }^{* * *}$ | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Characteristics | -0.1 | 0.5 | -0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -1.1** | 0.4** |
| University degree | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.1* | -0.1 | 0.1*** |
| Age | -1.0 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.6 | -0.0 | -0.5 | 0.3** |
| Single | -0.0 | -0.1* | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.0* | -0.0 | 0.0 |
| Children in household | -0.2 | -0.5* | -0.1 | 0.5** | -0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 |
| Youngest child 3-5 | $1.2^{* * *}$ | $1.0{ }^{* * *}$ | -0.0 | $0.3^{* * *}$ | 0.0 | -0.1* | -0.0 |
| Youngest child 6-9 | $-0.1^{* * *}$ | $-0.1^{* * *}$ | 0.0 *** | -0.0 *** | -0.0 | 0.0* | -0.0 *** |
| Youngest child 10-12 | $0.7 * * *$ | 0.5*** | -0.0 ** | 0.2*** | 0.0 | -0.0* | 0.0*** |
| East Germany | -0.6* | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.2* | -0.0 |
| Coefficients | 14.1*** | 4.4* | 1.1 | 5.6*** | 0.4 | 1.8 | -0.4 |
| University degree | -2.3 | -0.9 | 0.1 | -1.9* | 0.4 | -0.0 | 0.3 |
| Age | -1.1 | 7.3 | -3.1 | 5.4 | -5.7 | -4.0 | -0.4 |
| Single | -0.3 | 1.0 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.0 | -0.7 | -0.5* |
| Children in household | 7.8 | 10.0* | 2.4 | -1.1 | 0.0 | -3.2 | -0.6 |
| Youngest child 3-5 | -0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | -1.0 | -0.2 | 0.6 | -0.4 |
| Youngest child 6-9 | -1.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | -2.0 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.9* |
| Youngest child 10-12 | -3.1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | -4.3* | -0.0 | -0.1 | -0.7 |
| East Germany | -2.7* | -0.8 | -0.4 | -0.9 | 0.2 | -0.6 | -0.1 |
| Constant | 17.4 | -12.9 | 0.6 | 11.2 | 5.9 | 9.9 | 3.0 |

Note. Coefficients of the decomposition, and significance levels: * p $<.05, * * \mathrm{p}<.01, * * *$ $\mathrm{p}<.001$. Same regression equation as in Tables A-3, A-4 (but: binary for survey year and interaction of education and survey year are dropped). Source: GTUS 2001/2002, 2012/2013, own calculations.

Table 1b:Results of the decomposition analysis for fathers on weekdays and weekends, 2001/2002-2012/2013

|  | Total | Basic | Help | Play | Talk | Manage | Read |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weekdays |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total change | 7.9*** | 4.6*** | 0.5 | 1.7* | -0.1 | 1.3* | -0.1 |
| Characteristics | 0.6 | -0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2* | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| University degree | -0.1 | -0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.0 | -0.0** | -0.0 *** |
| Age | -0.3 | -0.7** | 0.3* | -0.4* | 0.2*** | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Single | 0.2 | 0.1* | 0.0 | -0.0 | -0.0 | 0.0 | -0.0 |
| Children in household | 0.1 | -0.1 | -0.1 | $0.5^{* * *}$ | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.0 |
| Youngest child 3-5 | $0.5 * *$ | 0.3 *** | 0.0 | $0.2^{* * *}$ | -0.0 | 0.0 | -0.0* |
| Youngest child 6-9 | 0.2*** | 0.1 *** | -0.0 | 0.1*** | -0.0* | -0.0 | 0.0 |
| Youngest child 10-12 | 0.1*** | 0.1*** | -0.0 | 0.0*** | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0*** |
| East Germany | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.0 |
| Coefficients | 7.3*** | 4.9*** | 0.3 | 1.4 | -0.3 | 1.2* | -0.1 |
| University degree | -0.8 | -1.1 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 0.1 | 0.7* | 0.2 |
| Age | -8.0 | -14.3* | 5.7 | -10.8 | 5.0** | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| Single | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1** | -0.1 | -0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Children in household | 6.0 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.5 | -0.0 |
| Youngest child 3-5 | 0.6 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 0.6 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 0.3* |
| Youngest child 6-9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.3 |
| Youngest child 10-12 | -0.6 | 0.3 | -0.3 | -0.0 | -0.6* | -0.4 | 0.2 |
| East Germany | -0.4 | -0.3 | 0.0 | -0.6 | -0.1 | 0.4* | -0.0 |
| Constant | 9.4 | 16.7** | -5.3 | 12.3* | -5.0 ** | -2.4 | -3.3* |
|  | Weekends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total change | 14.1*** | 4.8*** | 0.7 | 3.3 | 0.7 | 3.3** | 0.3 |
| Characteristics | 0.6 | -0.1 | -0.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 | -0.5 | 0.1 |
| University degree | -0.5** | -0.2* | -0.1** | -0.1 | -0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1* |
| Age | -0.9 | -0.7 | 0.0 | -0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Single | 0.3 | 0.1 | -0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Children in household | 0.7 | 0.0 | -0.1 | $0.8^{* * *}$ | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.1 |
| Youngest child 3-5 | 1.0*** | $0.5{ }^{* * *}$ | 0.0 | $0.5^{* * *}$ | -0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 |
| Youngest child 6-9 | -0.3 *** | $-0.2^{* * *}$ | 0.0 | $-0.2 * * *$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.0* |
| Youngest child 10-12 | 0.8*** | 0.4*** | -0.0 | 0.4*** | 0.0 | -0.0 | 0.0*** |
| East Germany | -0.5* | -0.1 | -0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.2* | -0.0 |
| Coefficients | 13.5*** | 4.9*** | 0.9 | 2.4 | 0.3 | $3.8{ }^{* * *}$ | 0.2 |
| University degree | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | -0.7 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Age | -9.1 | -9.7 | -0.3 | -14.0 | 4.2 | 7.3 | 3.6 |
| Single | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Children in household | -1.2 | 2.0 | 0.7 | -3.1 | -1.4 | 0.4 | -0.6 |
| Youngest child 3-5 | -0.1 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.2 | -0.2 | 0.3 | -0.1 |
| Youngest child 6-9 | -1.6 | -0.5 | 0.1 | -1.3 | -0.4 | 0.5 | -0.0 |
| Youngest child 10-12 | -3.3 | -0.6 | 0.1 | -1.3 | -0.6 | 0.0 | -0.4 |
| East Germany | -2.1 | -0.2 | -0.3 | -1.2 | 0.1 | -0.7 | 0.1 |
| Constant | 29.7 | 13.7 | 0.2 | 23.6 | -1.3 | -4.7 | -2.5 |

Note. Coefficients of the decomposition, and significance levels: * $\mathrm{p}<.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01, * * *$ $\mathrm{p}<.001$. Same regression equation as in Tables A-3, A-4 (but: binary for survey year and interaction of education and survey year are dropped). Source: GTUS 2001/2002, 2012/2013, own calculations.

