

JOURNAL OF THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL HISTORY OF THE ORIENT 64 (2021) 864-893



Flexible Forms of Contracts: Transactions through Fictitious Settlements (sulh/muṣālaḥa) in Iran

Christoph U. Werner
University of Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany
christoph.werner@uni-bamberg.de

Abstract

In the second half of the nineteenth century, practitioners of law in Iran were looking for more flexibility in contractual forms, especially those used to conclude routine transactions of properties and services. They increasingly made use of a type of contract named <code>muṣālaḥa-nāma</code>, derived from the legal concept of <code>sulh</code> and defined primarily as a means to arrange the amicable settlement of disputes. The present contribution attempts to categorise the kind of transactions for which this universal contractual type could be employed and raises the question what advantages such a "new" contractual form might have entailed.

Keywords

Qajar Iran – settlement & composition – Islamic Shiite law – contracts – muṣālaḥa

Introduction

Manuals and handbooks of law can provide a first impression of legal practice and convey an idea of preferred forms of legal transactions and documentation at a certain time and in a certain region. When such information on legal practice enters the domain of language training, this impression is enhanced further. For students of Persian at the beginning of the twentieth century who wanted to leave the narrow confines of classical grammar and literature and were interested in aspects of trade, commerce and law, the range of material at their disposal was limited. One of the few textbooks written with the explicit aim of teaching applied knowledge of 'modern' Persian to a German

audience was Sebastian Beck's *Konversationsgrammatik* (1914-15). The author, writing on the eve of World War I, deemed at least a passive command of the scripts *Nasta'līq* and *Shikasta* to be necessary, and for the advanced student he included a number of exemplary models of decrees, contracts and deeds. The main volume of Beck's grammar introduced these examples in handwritten *Shikasta* while a separate key to the grammar offered translations and basic expressions in transliteration.¹

The author, Sebastian Beck, emphasises the authenticity and up-to-date standard of the sample documents of Islamic law taken from a manual entitled Sayyid al-inshā'-i naw-ṣuhūr, widely used and distributed in Iran in the second half of the nineteenth century and beyond.² Looking at the documents included and taken verbatim from this work of inshā' which Beck placed under the heading Legal documents needed by the public,³ we see that immediately after the sample of a marriage contract ('aqd-nāma') and a letter of attorney (wikālat-nāmcha), he introduced a standard civil law contract: Ṣūrat-i qabāla-nāmcha-yi shar'ī, which can be roughly translated as Formulary for a standard deed according to sharia law.⁴

 $Qab\bar{a}la$ is a generic term that simply means any kind of written contract, and Beck's translation of the term thus emphasises the actual contents of the deed, calling it a "Grundstücksvertrag" or a contract of landed property. In reality, this sample document is composed in the form of a $mus\bar{a}laha-n\bar{a}ma$, a contract of settlement or composition, whereby in this specific case the ownership over a specified garden is transferred to another, unnamed party for a certain sum. The further context of other sample transactions provided by Beck—a deed of conditional sale $(bay`-i\ shart)$, a testament $(was\bar{t}yat-n\bar{a}mcha)$ or the request for a fatwa $(istift\bar{a}-n\bar{a}ma)$ indicates that the $qab\bar{a}la$ (or rather the $mus\bar{a}laha$) is introduced as a typical example for documenting a simple sale. Beck's Persian

¹ S. Beck, Neupersische Konversations-Grammatik (Heidelberg: Groos, 1914): 441-70. S. Beck, Schlüssel zur Neupersischen Konversations-Grammatik (Heidelberg: Groos, 1915): 262-312. See the appendix for an edition and full translation of this sample document.

^{2 &#}x27;A.M. Shīrāzī Ḥamzawī, Sayyid al-inshā'-i naw-zuhūr (lithograph Bombay: Faiż-rasān, 1327q/1909). The work has been attributed to Mīrzā Muḥammad Mahdī Khān Astarābādī as the original author, with 1273q (1856-57) as date of composition. Multiple lithograph prints of this work exist, many from Tehran, see the catalogue entries of the National Library and Archives of I.R. Iran and of the Parliamentary Library of Iran. This collection, its distribution, variants and print history would deserve an independent study.

³ *Niwishtajāt-i sharʿī ki muḥtāj ilayh ʿāmma ast.* The idea that the public depends on certain legal documents and formularies is interesting in itself.

⁴ Beck, Neupersische Konversations-Grammatik: 461. Beck, Schlüssel: 293-294.

⁵ See the contribution by Nobuaki Kondo in the present collection. The muṣālaḥa and the bay'-i sharṭ are probably the two most characteristic document types of late Qājār Shiite law.



FIGURE 1 Formulary for a standard deed according to sharia law (1914)

textbook, with its choice of select legal formularies, is an excellent indicator of the type of texts considered representative in Iran at that time.

The question arises why a late nineteenth- / early twentieth-century manual of legal writing from Iran—considered so characteristic that it found its way into a German textbook of modern Persian—does not use an ordinary contract of sale (i.e. a *mubāya'a*) as a model contract? Furthermore, why does this specific form of contract (i.e the *muṣālaḥa*) appear so frequently in nineteenth-century Persian legal usage, becoming the typical standard deed for transactions (*qabāla*) in Iran?

This paper traces the rise of the muṣālaḥa to become the most frequently used contractual form in Iranian legal practice in nineteenth-century Iran and suggests several possible reasons for this development. It also addresses a number of questions that go beyond the immediate issue of legal formularies. In how far did changes and developments in the judicial system and judicial practice influence the choice of certain contractual forms? How flexible was Shiite law to accommodate social needs by a growing and more diverse society? Is the muṣālaḥa-contract a primarily Shiite-Iranian phenomenon or if not, how does it translate into the wider Persianate legal sphere?

1 Shiite Judicial Practice in Qājār Iran

Before delving deeper into the main subject of this article, contracts of settlement or muṣālaḥa-nāmas, some general background on civic legal transactions in Iran, in particular for the later Qājār period from the mid-nineteenth century up to the 1920s, may be helpful. Most of the historical documentation on civil transactions at our disposal, which is to say transactions between private individuals or parties and thus excluding transactions involving taxes, salaries or grants by state or governmental authorities, deals with either agricultural land (including water rights) or real estate. In the Iranian case, it has been difficult to look closer into commercial transactions related to merchants and

⁶ For a previous, short discussion of these issues in the context of Qājār sale contracts, see C. Werner, "Formal Aspects of Qajar Deeds of Sale." In *Persian Documents*, ed. N. Kondo (London: Routledge, 2003): 42-3.

⁷ Quite representative in this regard are the document collections edited by Hashem Rajabzadeh since 1997 in his *Persian Documents Series*, the latest devoted to irrigation: H. Rajabzadeh, *Eighty-Eight Documents on Irrigation from Qajar Iran* (Tokyo: Research and Information Center for Asian Studies, 2019). A monumental older series in eight volumes that includes a large number of muṣālaḥa-nāmas in volume 7 is M. Sutūda (ed.), *Az Āstārā tā Istārbād* (Tihrān: Intishārāt-i Anjuman-i Āṣār-i Millī, 8 vols., 1350sh/1971 to 1355sh/1976).

goods such as textiles, food commodities, currencies etc. without recourse to the registers and balance sheets of western trading houses or consular files.⁸

In short, while transactions involving the physical *bazaar* proper with its shops, khans and caravanserais were the object of formalised legal documentation, objects traded inside the bazaar often did not involve notaries, judges and scribes, as far as they dispensed formularies of Islamic Shiite law. Reasons for this are manifold; some have to do with the organisation of legal practice in Iran, some with the special situation of merchants and their internal methods of bookkeeping, some with limited access to private collections and some as well with a lack of interest in the field of historical research.⁹

With few exceptions, Iranian civil law followed the ordinances and prescriptions of Shiite jurisprudence which differ in its formularies and contractual types from those employed in Sunni, mainly Ḥanafī legal systems in other parts of the Persianate world. In other words, Persian as a legal language is secondary to the legal standards, expressed and discussed in Arabic, of the respective law schools and works of jurisprudence. The large percentage of Arabic legal expressions and phrases, sometimes misspelled and not always fully understood by the scribes, is a living testimony to this dominance.

The institutional side of judicial practice in Iran is also of utmost importance. Following the demise of Safavid rule and the emergence of the $u\bar{s}u\bar{l}i$ legal school in the eighteenth century, the separation of state authority and judicial practice became ever more pronounced. Appointments to the position of judge $(q\bar{a}z\bar{i})$ or $im\bar{a}m$ -jum'a ('leader of the Friday prayer', i.e. leading cleric of a town) still occurred in the Qājār period, but became increasingly symbolic and nominal. The practice of civil law, including both notarial tasks and the solution of disputes, was in the hands of independent Shiite jurisprudents, muj-tahids, who practised law in their largely independent and individual courts. Shiite scholarly hierarchies controlled, and certified the new judicial system by evaluating the individual mujtahid's prestige and reputation. In this way, diverging decisions and rulings could be evaluated and balanced. This becomes most obvious in the amalgamation of judicial verdicts $(ahk\bar{a}m)$ and judicial opinions $(fat\bar{a}w\bar{a})$ —which explains why the legal handbook quoted

⁸ An exception are the documents from the merchant and entrepreneur Ḥājj M. Ḥasan Amīn al-Żarb (1837-98), edited in several volumes, as an example A. Mahdawī and Ī. Afshār, ed., *Yazd dar asnād-i Amīn al-Żarb* (Tihrān: Ṭalāya, 1380sh/2001).

Representative is the work by Gad Gilbar, an example, with further literature, G. Gilbar, "The Rise and Fall of the Tujjār Councils of Representatives in Iran, 1884-85." *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 51/4 (2008): 639-74.

¹⁰ Z. Bhalloo, "Judging the Judge: Judicial Competence in 19th Century Iran." Bulletin d'études orientales 63 (2014): 275-93.

above introduces the correct form of asking for a legal opinion ($istift\bar{a}$ - $n\bar{a}ma$) as one of the most important legal documents.¹¹

2 The Legal Practice of sulh and muṣālaḥa

When I began research on the social history of Iran in the early nineteenth century, now some two decades ago, and was searching for documents in the archives of a research institute in Tehran, I formulated my request for decrees and deeds from a certain period and region. So you are also interested in muṣālaḥa-nāmas, I was asked by the archivist, and—at that time—not knowing exactly what he meant by the term, I nodded and received a couple of deeds of sale on land and real-estate. A cursory glance in the dictionaries showed that muṣālaḥa meant an amicable settlement of disputes, but could be also used more colloquially with the simple meaning of transfer of ownership. For the moment, this seemed sufficient information. However, I soon realised that the number of documents from the sharia courts recorded in the legal form of a muṣālaḥa increased markedly in the second half of the nineteenth century. In fact, many transactions formerly recorded with distinct formularies as sale (bay') or rent/lease (ijāra), or donation (hiba), were now written down as muṣālaḥa-nāmas. The verbal noun (maṣdar) muṣālaḥa, derived from ṣālaḥa, is used to denote the legal contractual form of 'composition' or 'settlement' (sulh) in analogy to mubāya'a (sale) or mu'āważa (exchange). The legal concept of sulh denotes in its basic literary meaning 'peace', and in a more legal terminology it carries the meaning of 'composition', which is to say a mutual agreement or paying off a debt or an obligation. Surprisingly, few of the muṣālaḥa-nāmas I studied at that time mentioned a preceding conflict, dispute, obligation or debt, neither explicitly nor implied.

Traditionally, the legal concept of ṣulḥ as a peaceful agreement is based on a number of Koranic passages that laud amicable settlements as commendable, as well as on a number of hadiths. Among the best known hadiths is the one ascribed to the caliph 'Umar as transmitter in Sunni collections: "The proof is upon the plaintiff, the oath upon the defendant, and a peaceful settlement

¹¹ C. Werner, What is a Mujtahid? Functions and Stratification of Tabrizi 'Ulama in the Early Qajar Period. (Islamic Area Studies, Working Paper Series 19, Tokyo 2000). Most recent and comprehensive N. Kondo, Islamic Law and Society in Iran: A Social History of Qajar Tehran (London: Routledge, 2017).

On Koranic references and sulh as compared to the judicial concepts of $qad\bar{a}$ (adjudication) and $tahk\bar{l}m$ (arbitration), A. Othman, ""And Amicable Settlement Is Best": Sulh and Dispute Resolution in Islamic Law." Arab Law Quarterly 21/1 (2007): 64-99.

between Muslims is permissible, unless a settlement allows something forbidden or forbids something which is allowed."13 In this regard, the principal purpose of sulh is to end disputes among Muslims, and in a wider context also to end armed conflicts and war between Muslims and non-Muslims.14

In a Sunni, particularly Hanafi context, the aspect of arbitration or mediation therefore dominates the use of sulh in courts, and to provide mechanisms of conflict resolution is seen as one of the major tasks of judicial authority. 15 Paolo Sartori notes that the *qādīs*' judicial powers in Central Asia were often limited to the process of notarization of such settlements, rather than actually negotiating them. Still, this use of sulh in Central Asia does not appear to have influenced the actual formularies of transactions or contractual practice, although some of the examples introduced by Sartori related to waqf look similar to the Iranian practice. ¹⁶ The *Hedaya*, that is *al-Hidāya fī sharḥ bidāyat* al-Mubtadī, as one of the major compilations of Islamic or rather Anglo-*Mohammedan* Law, also sheds light on the various aspects of sulh, here treated under the name of Soolh or Composition. In the well-known and widely used version by Charles Hamilton, translated from the Persian, the similarities of sulh to sale or hire are mentioned, but without explicitly naming them as a practical alternative to the standard contractual forms. ¹⁷ This suggests that in the case of sulh/muṣālaḥa a shared Persianate linguistic and legal heritage has less a determinative impact on the form and contact of legal documents than do differences of practice emerging from the Shii-Sunni divide.

While there are clear differences among the Sunni legal schools—in particular al-Shāfi'ī and his followers introduced the analogy between sale (bay') and sulh and moved the early discussion in Islamic law over sulh towards a contractual understanding—the basic understanding of sulh as a means of

Following J. Wichard, Zwischen Markt und Moschee (Paderborn: Schöningh, 1995): 237. A 13 concise discussion of 'Şulh in Shiite legal theory' see below, p. 22ff. A more detailed analysis of the concept of sulh in Shiite jurisprudence will be the subject of a separate study.

¹⁴ The understanding of sulh as a peaceful approach in the early Islamic conquests adds to the underlying semantic context, on this aspect see A. Noth, "Zum Verhältnis von kalifaler Zentralgewalt und Provinzen in umayyadischer Zeit: Die 'Şulḥ'-,'Anwa'-Traditionen für Ägypten und den Iraq." Die Welt des Islams 14 (1973): 150-62.

P. Sartori, "The Evolution of Third-Party Mediation in Sharī'a Courts in 19th- and early 15 20th-century Central Asia." *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 54/3: 313. I. Tamdoğan, "Sulh and the 18th Century Ottoman Courts of Üsküdar and Adana." Islamic Law and Society 15/1 (2008): 55-83. L. Peirce, Morality Tales: Law and Gender in the Ottoman Court of Aintab, (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2003): 120, 185-6.

P. Sartori, Visions of Justice (Leiden: Brill, 2017): 40, 57, in particular 214. 16

C. Hamilton, The Hedaya: Commentary on the Islamic Laws (New Delhi: Kitab Bhavan, 17 1994): 111, 440-51.

mediation or balancing of claims remained in the foreground. 18 The legal practice in Shiite law, however, opens up a much wider field as the following three examples from Qājār Iran vividly illustrate.

2.1 First Example: The Murder of the Camel-Driver Qadīm'alī The first case is the narrative of a peaceful solution to an impending blood feud between two villages:

The reason for writing this deed is as follows: Some time ago, a certain Qadīm'alī from the village of Kakīn in the vicinity of Qazwīn, who was a camel-driver in the service of the $am\bar{u}r$ -panja Manṣūr al-Salṭana, had gone to the village of Maḥmūdābād to let his camels graze there. Confronted by the villagers of Maḥmūdābād, a fight broke out, in the course of which Qadīm'alī was killed. Since the murderer was not known, the claim for blood money (diya) for this murder was raised collectively against all peasants of Maḥmūdābād. The only heirs of Qadīm'alī were his little daughter and his wife. After a while his daughter passed away too, and the only remaining heir was her mother, the wife of Qadīm'alī. His wife named Manṣur al-Salṭana, his former employer, as her representative ($wak\bar{u}l$) in the task of demanding the blood money, which he did.

Now, three parts $(d\bar{a}ng)$, constituting half of the village, belonged to Mīrzā Ibrāhīm Khān $Wak\bar{\imath}l$ al- $ra'\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ (the ombudsman) and to a certain Raf'at al-Sulṭān. The peasants under the patronage of these landlords in their turn raised a claim against the looting of property and damage which had befallen the village of Maḥmūdābād following this murder by the hands of peasants and armed men from the village of Kakīn. The damage and harm were brought before us [i.e. the judge] and the peasants named the $Wak\bar{\imath}l$ al- $ra'\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ as their representative. When this dispute resulted in the reciprocal taking of oaths (and thus resulted in a stalemate), the two representatives agreed on a peaceful settlement on this issue.

Therefore, the *Wakīl al-raʿāyā* representing the peasants of half of the village, especially the seven separately mentioned individuals, dropped their claims with regard to the pillage and damage, whether it amounted to one or 500, or even 1000 $t\bar{u}m\bar{a}n$, in a contract of peaceful settlement of their own free will with Manṣūr al-Salṭana, for the settlement price ($m\bar{a}l$

¹⁸ A. Othman, "And Amicable Settlement Is Best": 82-3. For the Malikī school see D. Santillana, Istituzioni di diritto musulmano malichita: con riguardo anche al sistema sciafiita (Roma: Istituto per l'Oriente, vol. 2., 1938): 209-20, who translates *şulh* as "transazione".

al-mu, $\bar{a}la$,ha) of one $s\bar{u}^{19}$ of crystal sugar ($nab\bar{a}t$ - $i\,bandar\bar{\iota}$) and the recital of five $salaw\bar{a}t$, praising Muḥammad and his family. The other party accepted the settlement, and the contract of settlement as prescribed by the sharia became valid between them, the settlement price was handed over, and the five $salaw\bar{a}t$ were proclaimed, this took place in the month of $z\bar{\iota}$ - $z\bar$

The settlement in the case of the murder of Qadīm'alī represents exactly what we would expect of a peaceful solution of a conflict. In a situation where oath stood against oath, where no individual culprit could be identified, where one party had already taken the law into their own hands and the danger of a blood feud between neighbouring villages appeared imminent, and, moreover, where the victim had left no male heir, a settlement was the logical way out. The 'peace' (<code>sulh</code>) is offered by the villagers of Maḥmudābād who relinquish their claims of compensation for the symbolic settlement price (<code>māl al-muṣālaḥa</code>) of one <code>sīr</code> of crystal sugar, and is accepted by the other party who quietly drop their demand for blood money.

The above paraphrasing translation hides to a certain degree the stringent contractual style of the deed. This is not a court ruling, and while it includes and integrates the record of a mediation, it is primarily a contract that follows the rigid formulary of offer and acceptance that in its basic structure emulates a sale deed. Still, such examples of muṣālaḥa contracts are rather the exception than the rule, as the other examples will show, and where an underlying dispute, quarrel, or set of conflicting claims cannot be discerned immediately.

2.2 Second Example: Bībī Fāṭima Sells a Shop to Finance Her Pilgrimage to the ʿAtabāt

The second example of a muṣālaḥa-deed comprises a sale between relatives, but in addition addresses questions of inheritance and includes a number of unusual, special stipulations:

The reason for writing this deed is the following: Bībī Fāṭima, the wife of the late Ustād Muḥammad Rizā the Dyer, transferred through a contract of ṣulḥ (muṣālaḥa namūd) to Ustād Ḥājjī Bābā the Goldsmith, who

Measurements of weight: 1 man is about 2.9 kg (see below), 1 $s\bar{t}r$ is 1/40 man, i.e. ca. 74 gr.

²⁰ H. Rajabzade and K. Haneda, ed., Fifty Five Persian Documents of Qajar Period (Tokyo: ILCAA, 1997): 126-7. Rajabzade provides the text edition with facsimile, the paraphrasing translation is mine. Places and individuals mentioned are of no concern in the present context.

is the nephew of her late husband, one complete dyeing shop (*dukkān*) located in the New Bazaar, a description of its boundaries is included, for the settlement price (*māl al-muṣālaḥa*) of 30 *tūmān* of current currency, which is to say 300 pieces of Ṣāḥib-qirāns, under the following conditions:

He has to provide stone slabs over five graves [of the family] on a complimentary basis (ba- $tar\bar{\imath}q$ -i $ta'\bar{\imath}aruf$) and to provide for four years of prayers and fasting (saum wa- $sal\bar{\imath}at$) on behalf of four deceased family members, as well as two sessions of mourning rituals (ta'ziya- $d\bar{\imath}ar\bar{\imath}$). Another stipulation is that he will provide all necessary equipment for the afore-mentioned Sayyida when she goes on pilgrimage to the 'Atabāt shrines in Iraq; this includes shoes, a tent ($ch\bar{\imath}adur$ -ishab), and copperware necessary for traveling. From the mentioned amount he shall pay 10 $t\bar{\imath}um\bar{\imath}an$ after 10 days and the rest after two months, and in case the aforementioned Sayyida desires to depart speedily before the mentioned time span of payment, he shall pay all 30 $t\bar{\imath}um\bar{\imath}an$ in cash and forward it to her.

After this transaction Ustād Bābā himself offers a muṣalaḥa-contract covering all his possible cancellation rights $(khiy\bar{a}r\bar{a}t)$, among them the right of cancellation because of fraud; for the settlement price $(m\bar{a}l\ al\text{-}muṣ\bar{a}laḥa)$ of one man of wheat and 100 $d\bar{n}a\bar{r}$ in cash, the formal conclusion of the two muṣālaḥas was finalised through offer and acceptance $(\bar{y}\bar{a}b\ wa\ qab\bar{u}l)$ in Arabic and Persian on 1 Ṣafar 1248 (30 June 1832). 21

This contract is outwardly a sale in the form of a muṣālaḥa, but the circumstances hint at a pre-arranged transfer of expected inheritance to a nephew, combined with a guarantee of financing a pilgrimage and other religious activities. Interesting is the inclusion of a second settlement-contract that removes all rights of cancellation for a nominal settlement price. This is a frequent construction in sale contracts of the late nineteenth century to exclude later legal procedure and attempts to rescind agreements in court.²² So we see the case of a double, reciprocal contract of composition.

Sayyida Bībī Fāṭima appears as a candid widow with very clear aims of travel. Still, without further background information, it is difficult for us to grasp the reality behind this legal construction. Her nephew might have followed in the footsteps of her late husband, continuing the family business in running the dyeing shop and funding his beloved aunt a long desired pilgrimage. Equally

M. Zabīḥī and M. Sutūda, Az Āstārā tā Istārbād. Vol. 7: Asnād-i tārīkhī-yi Gurgān (Tihrān: Intishārāt-i Anjuman-i Āṣār-i Millī, 1354sh/1975): 70-1. Again, the paraphrasing translation is mine; the volume includes the edited text and a short summary.

²² More on this option below.

probable is the possibility that relatives, including her nephew, refused to take care of family graves and wanted to remove Bībī Fāṭima from her husband's shop—sending her off to the Shrines in Iraq might have been a way to ensure her compliance. The additional second muṣālaḥa suggests that the parties were not operating on a basis of trust.

2.3 Third Example: A Rental Contract with Legal Small Print

The third sample is a contract of rent or lease issued by Zahīr al-Islām, the chief guardian $(mutawall\bar{\iota}b\bar{a}sh\bar{\iota})$ on behalf of the trustees of the shrine of Imām Riżā in Mashhad, dated Rabī^c II 1334 (beg. 9.2.1916).

After Praise and Commendation: The trustees of the $\bar{A}st\bar{a}na-yi$ Muqaddasa (the Sacred Threshold) transferred with the permission and signature of the Ḥujjat al-Islām (= the $mutawall\bar{\imath}b\bar{a}sh\bar{\imath}$) through a contract of settlement ($mus\bar{a}laha$ $nam\bar{u}dand$) to Mīrzā Muḥammad b. Ḥājjī 'Abd al-Ḥusayn, a merchant from Tehran resident in Mashhad, the complete produce ($h\bar{a}sil$ $wa-man\bar{a}fi$ ') of half of the hamlet Bīldar, half the hamlet of Ābkūh, and all of the hamlet Sarbarq, all of them waqf property of the Holy Shrine, with all that pertains to it from a sharia or customary legal view, from autumn of the current year, the Year of the Rabbit 1333, and the harvest of the Year of the Crocodile 1334, onward over a period of full seven years, including both summer and winter harvests, for the settlement price ($m\bar{a}l$ $al-mus\bar{a}laha$) of 1001 $t\bar{u}m\bar{a}n$ in cash and the amount of 215 $kharw\bar{a}r$, 60 man of grain and 21 $kharw\bar{a}r$ of straw, which amounts to a defined yearly rate [details being calculated].

Of special interest, however, in this contract of lease are a number of special—and sharia-conformant—stipulations that are an integral part of the contract and are introduced thus:

"in the course of the contract, the following conditions were laid down" (fī zimn al-'aqd shurūṭ-i shar'īya wāqi' shud):

- All agricultural expenditures (*makhārij-i zirā'atī*), including the cleaning and upkeep of the water channel (*qanāt*) are the obligation of the one accepting the settlement for the whole period.
- In the case that the payment of the settlement price (māl al-ṣulḥ) is delayed, whether completely or in part, whether concerning payments in cash or in kind; or in case the one accepting the settlement passes away, while the trustees of the waqf have no trust in the heirs of the aforementioned; or the lessee transfers activities to another person

without the permission of the waqf's trustees; or there are manifestations of deceit and fraud in the endowed object; in all these cases the right to dissolve (faskh) the muṣālaḥa rests with the trustees of the waqf.

- In the case that marauding troops enter the land or hail damages the harvest to a degree that the landlord's share in summer and winter amounts to less than half of the settlement price of that year, the trustees of the waqf will seize the complete harvest and the outstanding amount will be considered as a reduction for the lessee, while the amount of six *kharwār* of grain will be provided as seed from the property of the Holy Shrine. However, it remains the obligation of the lessee to return this amount at the end of the total rental period, together with the object of sulh [i.e. the land rented out].
- In the case that the mentioned stipulations is violated and results in a dissolution of this contract, the trustees of the waqf are entitled to seize the seed that the lessee has stored from his own property in the villages in the range of 1 man to 20 kharwār.²³

In the previous example, the muṣālaḥa emulated a contract of sale; here it reproduces a contract of rent or lease that evokes decisively modern connotations in its small print. The management of the Holy Shrine as the lessor attempts to place the onus of possible loss on the lessee; it reserves the right to dissolve the contract in various instances and the term of lease is short in comparison to medieval and early modern contracts. Overall, the contract appears to be rather disadvantageous for the lessee.

The three examples presented all carry special features and already provide a rough idea why the muṣālaḥa-contract was so popular. Especially the third example suggests that it must have been easier to insert special conditions $(shur\bar{u}t)$ in a contract of settlement or composition than to incorporate them in the more rigid formulary of a traditional contract of lease.

It would, however, be wrong to assume that all muṣālaḥa contracts were so specific and detailed. In fact, the large majority of them can be seen as simple contracts of sale or exchange as in the model formulary provided in Beck's grammar. The contract of ṣulḥ is able to accommodate a wide variety of possible practices, and the following list is far from exhaustive:

- a 'real' settlement in case of reciprocal claims, disputes or debts
- a reallocation of landed property to alleviate agricultural use

²³ F. Jahānpūr, "Barrasī-yi chand iṣṭilāḥ dar muṣālaḥa-nāma-hā." *Ganjīna-i Asnād* 11/41-2 (1380sh/2001): 79-83.

the settlement of inheritance disputes or redistribution of inherited property, often in connection with the conclusion of testaments

- granting, relinquishing or transferring rights resulting from marriage contracts, in particular 'dowry' (mahr)
- a contract of sale
- a contract of rent or lease
- a service or work contract (i.e for religious services or pilgrimages); partly constructed as a conditional clause (*shart*) in a muṣālaḥa
- a contract of donation (hiba) often as a fictitious contract for a nominal amount
- the combination of several contractual forms in one contract
- the insertion of special clauses (shurūţ)
- the prevention of legal claims, litigation or cancellation

This variety and the widespread use of $\sup[h]/musalaha$ has been captured equally well in the examples chosen by Omid Reza'i in his $Introduction\ to\ Shari'a\ Documents$. His emphasis is on the 'results' (natayi) provided by this universal contractual form that seems to be applicable on every occasion. Reza'i shows that a muṣālaḥa can deliver the result or outcome of a contract of sale, of rent or of donation—but its advantage lies in the fact that certain conditions can be avoided in preferring this type over the 'original' contractual form. For example, a donation in the traditional form of a unilateral hiba ('gift'-contract) is to a certain degree revocable and can be rescinded (faskh), whereas the contractual form of $\mathfrak sulh$ delivers the same result, namely the transfer of property without 'real' compensation, but is bilateral and binding (lazim).

Reza'i's first and opening example is thus a contract concluded between a woman and her husband wherein she transfers all her paternal and maternal inheritance to him for a nominal amount. Such a transfer would not have been possible within the framework of a unilateral gift-contract (hiba), as the transaction covers several properties and indirectly involves inheritance law.²⁴ The second and third examples that Reza'i offers show a muṣālaḥa contract emulating a rental contract ($ij\bar{a}ra$) and a muṣālaḥa contract emulating a final and irrevocable sale (bay'-i qat'ī) respectively.²⁵

The dominance of muṣālaḥa contracts in the late Qājār period is not simply a subjective impression gained in passing from working in various archives and

²⁴ More on the use of sulḥ/muṣālaḥa contracts to transfer property and avoid inheritance laws below in the conclusion.

²⁵ U. Riżāʿī, *Dar-āmadī bar asnād-i sharʿī-yi dawra-yi Qājār* (Tokyo: ILCAA, 2008): 150-63, with further references.

looking at collections of edited documents.²⁶ As Nobuaki Kondo has shown in his illuminating studies on Tehran sharia courts and their registers, the preference for sulh as a legal tool can also be demonstrated statistically. He has drawn on three registers compiled by two jurists, Sayyid Muḥammad Ṣādiq Ṭabāṭabāʾī Sangalajī, covering the years 1867-68 and 1875-79 (Sangalajī I and II), and Shaykh Fazlallāh Nūrī, covering the years 1886-89.²⁷ These registers include records of commercial transactions (sale, conditional sale, loan, lease) and family law (marriage, divorce), as well as the resolution of disputes and legal verdicts, in short the whole range of Islamic law. Kondo distinguishes sulh/muṣālaḥa settlements of disputes (including the settlement of dowry claims) from transactional muṣālaḥa contracts emulating sale or lease.²⁸ In the case of simple sale transactions, the muṣālaḥa contract type was employed in more than two thirds of all cases from his corpus.²⁹

3 Sulh in Shiite Legal Theory

Let us return to the legal definition of ṣulḥ and the actual basis for the classification of muṣālaḥa as a contract. Early Shiite legal literature is in fact very close to its Sunni counterpart in its description and categorisation of ṣulḥ. Thus Shaikh Ṭūsī in his $al\text{-}Mabs\bar{u}t$ of the eleventh century denies ṣulḥ the status of an independent contract and declares it to be a subdivision or branch (far) of other contracts, and he distinguishes these subdivisions ($fur\bar{u}$) as being those of sale (bay), remission of debt ($ibr\bar{a}$), rent ($ij\bar{a}ra$), loan ($i\bar{a}r\bar{t}ya$), and donation (hiba). In the thirteenth century, Muḥaqqiq al-Ḥillī's $Shar\bar{a}yi$ $al\text{-}Isl\bar{a}m$ abandons this view and declares that ṣulḥ should not be regarded as a subdivision of other contracts, even if it emulates the benefits of these contracts. That ṣulḥ (composition) is an independent institution in Shiite law was by now the majority view and also differentiated Shiite law from the Shāfi'ī tradition. In Shiite law was by now the majority view and also differentiated Shiite law from the Shāfi'ī tradition.

²⁶ See note 7 above.

²⁷ Kondo, *Islamic Law and Society in Iran*: 43. As courts and registers were considered private collections, few have survived and research on them is only beginning.

²⁸ On muṣālaḥa as settlement see Kondo, Islamic Law and Society in Iran: 52-3.

N. Kondo, *Islamic Law and Society in Iran: A Social History of Qajar Tehran.* London: Routledge, 2013): 75 (table 5.1). The use of muṣālaḥa was less frequent for conditional sales and leases (i.e. credit loans): 78 (table 5.5) and 81 (table 5.9). He suggests a gradual shift from *mubāya'a* to muṣālaḥa that occurred first with normal sales before being extended to conditional sales.

³⁰ M. b. al-Ḥasan 'Alī al-Ṭūsī, *Al-Mabsūṭ fī fiqh al-imāmīya*, ed. M. Taqī al-Kashfī (Tihrān: 1350sh/1970), vol. 2: 288-311.

³¹ P. Owsia, Formation of Contract (London: Graham & Trotman, 1994): 360-1.

Despite this more open definition of ṣulḥ, the discussion of ṣulḥ in its practical application was rather limited around this time and remained indebted to older traditions. Thus, a large part of Muḥaqqiq's chapter on ṣulḥ deals with what we would call nowadays 'building regulations', such as the question of whether the owner of a house is allowed to affix to his house a veranda that reaches out into public alleys. This open definition of ṣulḥ remains quite stable and the major and monumental nineteenth-century commentary <code>Jawāhir al-Kalām</code> on Muḥaqqiq al-Ḥillī has astonishingly few new examples or questions to add to this in its chapter <code>kitāb al-sulh.33</code>

A new step in the legal approach to ṣulḥ is taken in the Safavid period, by authors such as Shahīd al-Awwal, Bahā al-Dīn al-ʿĀmilī, and Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī. In an attempt to popularise the understanding of ṣulḥ, Bahā al-Dīn provides the henceforth standard definition that ṣulḥ is a contract that the lawgiver has established in order to settle conflicts between men. According to him, ṣulḥ can then be divided into three major categories: first, ṣulḥ between Muslims and the ahl-i $kit\bar{a}b$, i.e. in connection with $jih\bar{a}d$; second, ṣulḥ between husband and wife in a divorce suit; and third, ṣulḥ between two parties arguing over property. Here, ṣulḥ is a binding $(l\bar{a}zim)$ contract that follows clear conditions, and has to be expressed in the form that we know already from our previous examples: "I settle (muṣalaḥa kardam) the following dispute with you $(ba\ tu)$ for the fixed amount of ...".³⁴

As we see, there is a clear evolution of a distinct Shiite concept of sulh over the centuries that leads to the definition of sulh or muṣālaḥa as an independent, binding and universal contract that can be applied in a wide variety of circumstances. However, the question remains whether the legal literature of the nineteenth century actively supports or legitimises the preference of the muṣālaḥa contract over other contractual forms. In other words, does the legal literature comment on what can be glanced from the legal practice? This is crucial as the *mujtahid*-jurists that run the sharia courts stand in a close student-teacher relationship to the main authorities of Shiite law, and sometimes are both juristic authors and practitioners. While there is a steady growth of legal advice literature, printed in Arabic and Persian, there is also a reluctance to

³² Ḥillī al-Muḥaqqiq al-Awwal, *Tarjuma-i fārsī-i Sharāyi* al-Islām, trans. Abū al-Qāsim b. Aḥmad Yazdī, ed. M. Taqī Dānish-Pazhūh (Tihrān: Intishārāt-i Danishgāh-i Tihrān 1358sh/1997), vol. 1: 267-54.

³³ M. Ḥ. Najafī (Ṣaḥib-i Jawāhir), Jawāhir al-Kalām fī sharḥ Sharāyi' al-Islām: Volume 26: Kitāb al-ṣulḥ (lithograph n.p. 1274q/1858).

Bahā' al-Dīn Muḥammad 'Āmilī. *Jāmi'-i 'Abbāsī: risāla-yi 'amalīya, bā ḥavāshī-yi hasht faqīh-i 'ālīmaqām*, Qum: Daftar-i intishārāt-i Islāmī, 1388sh/2009): 226-7. Following Arabic grammatical usage, the legal wordings in Persian also use the preterite forms.

further expand the Safavid definition of sulh and especially in the twentieth century a return to more traditional *topoi*.

Two examples might help to make this point clearer. The first such example is taken from a typical Question & Answer work ($su'\bar{a}l\ wa\ jaw\bar{a}b$) from 1842: a question posed to and answered by one of the most famous and powerful mujtahids of Qājār Iran, Muḥammad Bāqir al-Shaftī.

A woman has transferred (*muṣālaḥa namūd*) her properties, consisting of a house, land etc. to her son-in-law under the condition that the recipient carries out a number of activities after her death, such as a pilgrimage, prayers and fasting and Koran recitations. Now the recipient, who shall be called Zaid, comes and in his turn transfers as a muṣālaḥa all these properties on to his young son who is a minor, without any conditions. Then once more, assuming the custody of his son [he feels guilty] and in the name of his son transfers as a muṣālaḥa some of the properties [back] to the descendants of the woman [re-]inserting the previous conditions. Is the second muṣālaḥa valid or not, and does the father in this case really have the automatic guardianship of his son?³⁵

Shaftī concludes in his reply that all three contracts of composition are valid and unproblematic, even the transfer on behalf of his minor son—unless blatant corruption is apparent. He emphasises, however, the question of intent as the crucial legal problem in this case: Did the woman who concluded the first muṣālaḥa intend that only the first contractual partner should carry out the religious tasks on her behalf, or did she agree to a transfer on to her heirs at a later point? If there is doubt on this point, any further contractual agreement becomes void. In earlier books of the Q&A type and in most legal Shiite handbooks, the chapter on ṣulḥ is rather insignificant and small. The fact that someone like Muḥammad Bāqir al-Shaftī, a fierce protagonist of 'ulamā independence in the city of Isfahan, reserves a relatively large space for questions on ṣulḥ in his book might be seen as an acknowledgement that ṣulḥ had become more important by the time this book was composed.³⁶

What one can deduct from the above is that actual transactions, such as the one we encountered in the case of Bībī Fāṭima, were discussed in the applied

M.B. al-Shaftī, *Kitāb-i suʾāl va jawāb* (Tihrān 1258q/1842): 297 (Kitāb al-ṣulḥ: 286-303). These works might be compared to Sunni fatwa collections, although one has to be careful with the analogies, as the shiite understanding of a fatwa and the institutional background of shiite juristic practice is quite different.

³⁶ On Shaftī see I. Schneider, "Muḥammad Bāqir Šaftī (1180-1260/1766-1844) und die Isfahaner Gerichtsbarkeit", Der Islam 79/2 (2002): 240-73.

legal literature of the nineteenth century. The more theoretically inclined works of jurisprudence, however, maintained the idea that sulh was bound to opposing claims, debts or obligations. On this abstract level, practical examples do not play an important role, and the main emphasis of the jurisprudents is to stress that the general rules of $rib\bar{a}$ and other contracts also apply to sulh. An example taken from Khomeini's twentieth-century legal handbook for laymen $Tau\bar{z}\bar{t}h$ al-mas \bar{a} 'il may illustrate this point:

If someone gives his sheep to a shepherd, so that he takes care of them, for example for one year, and he [the shepherd] uses their milk and provides a certain amount of butter to him [the original owner of the sheep]; and the owner of the sheep settles (<code>sulh.kunad</code>) the sheep's milk as equivalent to the efforts of the shepherd and the provided amount of butter, it is legally correct. If, however, he should lease the sheep for one year so that the shepherd can use the milk, and in exchange, provides an amount of butter, it is problematic.³⁷

This sounds like a casuistic approach of jurists removed from actual practice, as few landlords and peasants would conclude a formal written contract of lease over a flock of sheep. Crucial in this argumentation is the opposition of two contractual forms: that of ṣulḥ vs. that of *ijāra*. As an *ijāra* such an exchange is not allowed, since no fixed rental price is given, whereas the same construction is valid as a ṣulḥ, since diverging claims can be simply balanced. One has to be aware that Khomeini in the twentieth century, argues not anymore as a *mujta-hid* ruling in his own court, but as a judicial expert and scholar.

4 Further Reasons for the Spread of Şulḥ-Transactions

Beyond the apparent flexibility of sulh as a universal 'one-fits-all' contract, the genesis of muṣālaḥa contracts in legal practice from the seventeenth to the nineteenth century suggests yet another possible reason behind the success of this contractual form. We saw already in our second example with Bībī Fāṭima that the need was felt to safeguard a contract and its legal effects from litigation, claims and disputes. The standard way to prevent claimants from challenging a sale, lease or transfer of property was to include an additional, pre-emptory 'settlement/composition' in advance. Most frequently this was clad in the formulaic prevention of annulment because of fraud ($khiy\bar{a}r$

³⁷ R. Khumainī, *Risāla-i taużīḥ al-masā'il* (Tihrān: Irshād-i Islāmī, 1371sh/1992): 291 (Q2163).

al-ghabn)—but other such pre-emptive formulae mention explicitly disputes (da' $w\bar{a}$) and exclude legal representatives, relatives or third parties.

This option of inserting an additional, pre-emptory muṣālaḥa occurs relatively early on and can be found already in deeds from the Safavid period. The edited specimen in the appendix from 1156/1743 is excellent as it shows in its clear and concise style both the similarities to the formulary of Beck's Konversationsgrammatik, a hundred and fifty years later, and the way a muṣālaḥa was inserted as a device to endorse and safeguard an ordinary sale contract. In its outer appearance, this deed from the era of Nādir Shāh Afshār (r. 1736-47) is a contract of sale over land in Khorasan that is clearly marked as a $mub\bar{a}ya'a$. Apparently, the contractual parties were afraid of opposing claims and disputes and thus they secured the sale with a double legal contrivance. Any imaginable legal dispute $(da'w\bar{a})$ that could come up in the future is settled in advance through a 'settlement/composition' (muṣālaḥa), raising the sale price from 70 $t\bar{u}m\bar{a}n$ to 75 $t\bar{u}m\bar{a}n$ —and as this was still not enough guarantee, the selling party in addition gave these possible claimants rights in lease for a total period of ninety years, resulting in a final total price tag of 80 $t\bar{u}m\bar{a}n$.³⁸

I would suggest that over time it had become more efficient in daily notarial practice to skip one step and to simplify this process of combining a sale with a composition in formulating a sale transfer from the start as a muṣālaḥa. The aspect of avoiding litigation, disputes and claims, however, must have been particularly appealing in later Qājār Iran where legal disputes in and between different legal experts and courts multiplied. The rise of the muṣālaḥa should then be seen as emblematic for a society that became more and more 'quarrelsome' and confrontational.³⁹

A close observer of judicial practice and realities in the 1940s and early 1950s was Ann Lambton. Familiar with both the situation prior to modern legislation and the new Civic Code introduced under Reza Shah, she pointed out one cause for the attractiveness of sulh-transactions: transfer of properties in order to circumnavigate inheritance laws and restrictions:

One of the ways by which the excessive subdivision of estates consequent upon the laws of inheritance is to some extent limited is by the owner making gifts of his estates during his lifetime to one or more of his

³⁸ The latter is indeed a quite confusing construction, but the summary of the sums received and acknowledged leaves no doubt that the 'composition' and the 'lease' are actually bound together.

³⁹ More on this and litigations in the excellent dissertation by Z. Bhalloo, 'The Qajar Jurist and His Ruling: A Study of Judicial Practice in Nineteenth Century Iran' (Oxford: Wadham College 2013).



FIGURE 2 Combined contract of sale, lease and composition (1156q/1743)

heirs. The form which this transaction takes, however, is not usually that of a gift, but rather of 'conciliation' or sulh. ⁴⁰

Her observation on sulh or 'conciliation' is congruent with our own findings:

'Conciliation' in the Civil Code is extended to cover a rather wider field than in the exposition of al-Muḥaqqiq [see above: al-Muḥaqqiq al-Ḥillī, $Shar\bar{a}yi'$ al- $Isl\bar{a}m$] who appears to regard it solely as means to terminate a dispute. 41

Interesting is her interpretation that sees the codification of the practice of ṣulḥ as an acknowledgment of this much wider field—in other words taking the view that modern legislation followed and confirmed the developments in Shiite sharia law of the late nineteenth century. In Lambton's view, it has been primarily the easy method of transferring property in order to prevent the subdivision of estates through inheritance laws that made the contract of ṣulḥ so attractive. This argument is convincing as building and conserving estates is one of the main threads that runs through most legal transactions and disputes. However, as we have seen, it is by far not the only use of ṣulḥ.

Conclusion

The legal category of ṣulḥ and the contractual/transactional form of muṣālaḥa can be approached through different angles: one is of course the legal perspective of Shiite Islamic law, from its formative period up to its partial canonization in the <code>Qānūn-i Madanī</code>, the modern Civil Code of Iran from the 1930s. Another one is that of legal practice and the question of how this particular form of contract has been used and to what purpose. The third angle is a diplomatic or formulary perspective, considering in what ways the particular physical

A.K.S. Lambton, *Landlord and Peasant in Persia* (London: Oxford Univ. Press, 1953): 200. I quote her translation of the Civil Code (*Qānūn-i Madanī*) in full, as it remains valid under the Islamic Republic with only minimal variations. Note her rendering of the term şulḥ as 'conciliation' instead of settlement or composition: "Conciliation is possible either in order to settle an existing dispute or to prevent a potential dispute concerning some transaction or other or which might arise over something else' (art. 752). For the 'conciliation' to be valid both parties must be competent to undertake the transaction and to take possession of the subject of the 'conciliation' (art. 753). A 'conciliation' without recompense is valid (art. 757). The right of pre-emption is not established in the case of 'conciliation' (art. 759). It is a binding agreement (art. 760), but 'conciliation' transacted under duress is not valid (ar. 763)."

⁴¹ Lambton, Landlord and Peasant in Persia: 200.

contracts of muṣālaḥa were written and whether they constitute a different form of contract also in this regard. Among these approaches, the middle one is the most difficult, as—at least until now—we have no ego-statements by the practitioners of law on why they chose one form of contract over another. It would be nice to have a *mujtahid*'s diary that would explain why in his judicial practice over the years he had recorded more and more sale transactions in the form of a muṣālaḥa. In many instances we are indeed forced into open speculation and hypothesizing, as we can only second-guess the real stories behind many written documents.

The legal literature of the nineteenth century shows, at least in part, that there is a clear recognition of the popularity and wide-spread application of sulh/muṣālaḥa in this period. But while the practice is recognized, this does not mean that there is also a theoretical elaboration of why this phenomenon occurred. In other words, legal literature does not explain why so many practising jurists, such as Shaftī in Isfahan or the Sangalajīs in Tehran, chose to formulate most of their transactions in the form of this "universal contract", or Sayyid al-'uqūd ("The Lord of Contracts" as it is also known, instead of recording a sale as a contract of sale (a mubāya'a) or a lease as a contract of rent (a muʾājara).

Since we will not find a clear answer in the sources, we have to consider which factors may have played a role in this development. The influx of a vernacular use of language, such as <code>muṣālaḥa namūd</code> with the unspecific meaning of "transferred", into legal formularies is certainly a case in point.

As the papers collected in this special themed issue are devoted to transactions, my main hypothesis is related to an until now not yet fully understood process of an extension of the legal sphere in late nineteenth century. Practitioners—jurists and clients, courts and officials, laymen and experts were using law and legal documentation on a previously unknown scale. With an extension of the legal sphere and its connection with more and more economic transactions, it is only to be expected that increased flexibility in contractual forms proved to be advantageous. Diverging claims could be transferred, settled and negotiated much more easily and complicated constructions involving inheritance, lease sale and nominal sales could be combined. In general, the holding of property titles became more and more important—it did not suffice anymore to simply exercise control (taṣarruf) over property shares, they had to be documented—and muṣālaḥa contracts were a convenient way to document and legalize already existing divisions and holdings of property prior to further legal actions (e.g. establishing a testament or an endowment).

In the same way, parties could avoid tiresome and long-drawn legal disputes in court: one of the pervasive origins of a muṣālaḥa seems actually to derive from an additional safeguarding clause, inserted into other contracts; a practice we see and witness from the Safavid period onwards. Thus, to exclude disputes $(da'w\bar{a})$ and claims for annulment of contract (faskh) for various reasons—most common later arising concurrent claims of ownership over the object sold—an additional, pre-emptive ṣulḥ-clause proved useful. In the past, settlements were often included in normal sale or rent contracts to void and exclude later claims—thus a settlement would preclude possible later court claims.

Using the muṣālaḥa type for a wide variety of legal transactions can be regarded as the outcome of a longer process that saw the shift from an included, additional muṣālaḥa within a sale contract to a replacement of the former by the latter. Why compose a contract of sale $(mub\bar{a}ya'a)$ and secure legal liabilities through an inserted ṣulḥ clause if the outcome of the whole transaction can equally well—if not better and more easily—be achieved directly through a muṣālaḥa contract?

This process in the contractual law of transactions in nineteenth-century Iran was linked closely to the unique development of an independent Shiite judiciary and its courts. While the concept of sulh as settlement or mediation was wide-spread in the Persianate world, the use of sulh/muṣālaḥa to emulate a variety of contracts did not catch on in other regions influenced by Persian as a legal language, neither in Central Asia, nor in India. The establishment of secular and authoritarian Pahlavi rule and the introduction of codified law in the 1920s and 30s is often regarded as a sharp break with traditional jurisprudence and legal practice. The use of sulh/muṣālaḥa shows that this is not correct, since the traditional legal definition was incorporated verbatim in the new Civil Code of modern Iran.

Of course, 'real' settlements and 'peace' agreements could also be negotiated within a muṣālaḥa as we saw above. A clear indication of an amicable settlement or a transfer of rights are symbolic statements of settlement price such as sweets or salutes to the prophet. Giving one $s\bar{t}r$ (c. 75 gr.) of crystal sugar is a token price that can be interpreted as a symbolic 'sweetener' for a deal that concluded negotiations. In many instances it is difficult to judge from the contract itself what is the story behind the settlement contract—a lot of guesswork is needed and without knowledge of the broader context this can be risky. Especially in the case of transactions within families and between family members, often involving women in dependent situations (widows, wives, daughters), muṣālaḥa-contracts may have been merely a facade, veiling and hiding pressure. On the other hand, the presence of women in so many

contracts of the late Qājār period can be seen as evidence of more legal awareness and growing economic independence. 42

The emergence of the muṣālaḥa occurs at the same time as the growing independence of the 'ulamā from state institutions and offices. It also goes hand in hand with the numerical growth of practising jurists (i.e. *mujtahids*) and therefore the explosion of legal disputes in Qājār society. Especially towards the end of the nineteenth century, previous trust in the mechanisms of judicial procedure became weaker, whether state exercised justice or justice mediated and disbursed through the 'ulamā. Both were increasingly perceived as corrupt, inefficient and unjust. The same time period also sees the first attempts to establish official state-operated secular courts and to begin state-led judicial reforms. All in all, there can be no doubt that the dominance of the muṣālaḥa as a widely applicable 'universal contract' is both a product and a sign of larger developments in the second half of the nineteenth century.

Appendix: 2 Edited Documents

1. *Qabāla—Muṣālaḥa* from Sebastian Beck (1915) via Shīrāzī Ḥamzawī's Sayyid al-inshā'-i naw-zuhūr (1909)

Persian Text

صورت قبالهنامچه شرعى

غرض از تحریر و تسطیر این کلمات واضحه الدلالات آن است که در بهترین وقت از اوقات مقرون به خیر و برکات حاضر عالیحضرت عالیمحضر شرع شریف گردید جناب فضایل مآب میرزا ابو الحسن منشی ولد ارجمند مرحوم میرزا علیمحمد در حالی که جمیع اقاریر شرعیه و ملیه از ایشان مسموع و مشهود بود دون الاکراه والاجبار بل بالطوع والرغبه والاختیار مصالحه صحیحه شرعیه نمود همگی و تمامی و جملگی یک قطعه باغ ملکی متصر فی خودراکه مشهور است به باغ علی آباد واقعه در قصر الدشت محدود به حدود اربعه از

Another way to get an idea on the wide use of muṣālaḥa contracts by women (and including women) is to browse the collections of Harvard University's Digital Archive Women's Worlds in Qajar Iran at http://www.qajarwomen.org (accessed 01.02.2020) for "settlement" and "contracts."

حدى به باغ جناب مستطاب امام جمعه و از حدى به باغ فردوس و از سمتى به كوچه باغ شارع عام مع كل ما يتعلق بها از اشجار و انهار و متعلقات خارجه و داخله از اشجار و صحارى و برارى و غيره مسمى ام لم يسم و ذكر ام لم يذكر و مجرى المياه و حق الشرب تابعه مرتبه بر آن از قنات معروف بخيرات و منال و ماليات ديوانى [به فلان] به مبلغ فلان نقدًا مقبوضًا فى المجلس العقد به اسقاط كافه خيارات سيما خيار الغبن ولوكان فاحشًا بل افحش و نرسد احدى را از طرفين كه در اين مصالحه دعوى و رجوعى نمايند كان وكيلاً او ثانيًا ام وارثًا كه از درجه اعتبار ساقط خواهد بود و صيغه مصالحه صحيحه شرعيه با جميع شرايط جارى گرديد و كان وقوع ذالك فى شهر رجب المرجب سنه ١٣٢٥.

English Translation

Formulary of a standard deed according to sharia law

The purpose of writing and composing these words of clear proofs is the following: In the best of times, propitious to good works and blessings, he appeared before the eminent and noble sharia court, his excellency, the repository for all virtues, Mīrzā Abū al-Ḥasan *munshī*, son of the late noble Mīrzā 'Alī-Muḥammad, in a state whereby he audibly and visibly fulfilled all the legal and religious conditions, without any force or coercion, but with full voluntary intent and free will, he offered in correct and sharia-conformant composition, all and everything of one piece of a garden owned by him and in his possession, which is known as the Bagh-i 'Alī-ābād, situated in Qaṣr Aldasht, limited by boundaries on four sides, on one boundary by the garden belonging to the excellent Imām Jum'a, on one boundary by the Bāgh-i Firdaws, and on one side by the garden alley off the main street, with all that belongs to it of trees and water canals, and whatever is part of it inside or outside, such as trees, uncultivated land and fields, etc., whether mentioned or named explicitly or not, and all water supplies and rights related to it from the qanāt known as charitable, and property and dīwanī taxes, [to such and such], for such and such amount, in cash and received in the contractual session, letting fall all possible causes of annulment, be it the annulment because of fraud, and even of the worst kind, and none of the two parties that [are mentioned] in this composition shall raise a complaint or attempt a withdrawal, whether through a legal representative, a second party, or an heir, which will have nil credibility and the contractual form of a correct and sharia-conformant composition with all conditions became valid, and this took place in the month Rajab of the year 1325.

2. A combined deed with a contract of sale $(mub\bar{a}ya'a)$ from Khorasan, secured through an additional muṣālaḥa and a contract of lease $(ij\bar{a}ra)$, dated 25 Jumāda II 1156 $(16.8.1743)^{43}$

Persian Text

هو

- بفروخت بمبایعهٔ لازمهٔ جازمه نافذه معتبره صحیحه شرعیّه عالیشأن رفیعمکان
 سلطانمحمد بنگ ولد مرحوم جانمحمد بنگ
- بعالیجاه رفیعجایگاه شوکت و عظمت و ابهت و اجلال دستگاه امام ویردی خان
 قرقلو همگی و تمامی موازی دو زوج ملک موروثی خودرا
- که مسمی است به قری و واقع است در بلوک ابیورد من محال مشهد مقدس و
 محدود است باین حدود اربعه حدی آق چشمه حدی خیرآباد
- ۴ دو حد دیگر دیمچه زار واقع در میان دو دره بثمن معین معلوم القدر مبلغ هفتاد تومان تبریزی فضی که مساوی از یکهزار و چهار صد عدد روپیه دو مثقالنیمی نادری
- موده باشد و دعوی غبن فاحش بل افحش مبایعه مزبوره را با هرگونه حقی و بهره و نصیبی که در مبیع مزبور متصور بود دیده و دانسته بمبلغ پنجتومان تبریزی فضی
- ع مصالحه شرعیه نمود و بعد از ذلک اجاره صحیح صریح شرعیه نمود از حالتحریر لغایت مدت نود سال کامل بمبلغ پنجتومان تبریزی فضی و اسقاط جمیع خیارات نمود
- و مبیع مزبوررا از تحت و تصرف خود اخراج و بتصرف مالکانه مشتری مزبور وا
 گذاشت و مبلغ مزبورکل وجوه مبایعه و خیار غبن الفاحش و مال المصالحه
- که هشتاد تومان تبریزی فضی که مساوی یکهزار ششصد روپیه دومثقالنیمی سکه
 مبارکه نادری بوده باشد تمام و کمال احذ و قبض نمود و ضامن درک شرعی گردید
- که هرگاه کاشف شرعی بظهور برسد از عهده کل ما یلزم علیه بیرون آمد و صیغه صحیحه شرعیه بالعربیه والفارسیه در مراتب مرقومه واقع و جاری گردید
- ۱۰ و کان ذالک فی تاریخ بیست و پنجم شهر جمادی الآخر من شهور سنه یکهزار و یکصد و پنجاه و شش سنه ۱۱۵۶

⁴³ Private Collection of Kioumars Ghereghlou, here http://asnad.org/en/document/1015 (accessed 01.02.2020).

مهر سلطان مجد بیگ سحلا*ت*

ا اعترف بما زبر فيه بمعرفي لدى حرره [مهر:] «افوض امرى الى الله عبده مجد جعفر »

ب بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم، ... بمضمونه...، مهر ناخوانا

ج ذالكذالك و انا شاهد بذلك حرره العبد الاقل، مهر نا خوانا

د شهد بالمضمون المسطور العبد الاقل، [مهر:] « ... »

ه اقر ایده الله تعالی بجمیع اسعده الله بعد جریان البیع الشرعیة لدی حرره، [مهر:]

ه حمد...

ز شهد بما فیه، مهد مهدی، [مهر:] « ... »

ح ... مجد رشید، [مهر:] « ... »

ط خاتم حمد،[مهر:] «...»

ی عزیز بیگ، برادر مجد تقی، مهر ناخوانا

English Translation

He

- 1 He sold with a binding, obliging, effective, acknowledged and legally correct sharia contract of sale $(mub\bar{a}ya'a)$, the honourable and highranking Sulṭān-Muḥammad Beg, son of the late Jān-Muḥammad Beg,
- to the noble and elevated, repository of dignity, magnificence, grandeur and honour, Imāmvirdī Khān Qiriqlū,⁴⁴ all and everything of two pieces of his own inherited landed property
- which is named as Quzak and located in the area of Abīward from among the districts of Mashhad-i Muqaddas, and limited on four sides, one of them (the village of) Āq Chashma, one (the village of) Khayrābād,
- and on two other sides on (the village of) Daymcha-zār, located in between two vales, for the clearly determined price over the amount of seventy tūmān-i tabrīzī in silver coinage, equivalent to 1.400 pieces of Dumiṣqālnīmī Nādirī rupees,

Again, the historical background to this document can not be explored in detail. Abīward lies to the north of Mashhad, close to the present border of Turkmenistan; on Imāmvirdī Khān see L. Lockhart, *Nadir Shah: A critical study based mainly upon contemporary sources* (London: Luzac, 1938): 116, 215.

and (furthermore) any litigation because of fraud, and be it of the most abominable type, over the mentioned contract of sale, with any kind of claim, usage or profit imaginable in the sold object, seen and understood, for the amount of five *tūmān-i tahrīzī* in silver

- he made a sharia-conform composition (*muṣālaḥa*) and after that he concluded a legally correct and sharia-conform contract of lease (*ijāra*), from the time of writing to the end of a period of ninety years in total, for the amount of five *tūmān-i tabrīzī* in silver, and he excluded all options of cancellation,
- and the aforementioned object of sale left his possession and entered the proprietary control of the mentioned buyer, and the mentioned amount total from the contract of sale, the exclusion of fraud and the settlement price
- 8 of together 80 *tūmān-i tabrīzī* in silver coinage which is equivalent to 1.600 pieces of *Dumiṣqālnīmī Nādirī* rupees was completely and totally taken and acknowledged, and taken as sharia-conformant surety,
- 9 so that whenever a legal subterfuge should occur, he would be released from all obligations, and the legally correct formulary in Arabic and Persian during the recorded steps took place and became valid.
- 10 This took place on the date of 25 Jumādā II of the year 1156. Various seals and legal attestations:
- a) I recognize what has been composed in it to the best of my knowledge, it has been written before me: "I entrust my affairs to God, his servant Muhammad Ja'far"
- b) In the name of God the Merciful, the Beneficent, I [acknowledge] its contents [...], [seal]
- c) It is as it is, and I am witness to it, it has been written by the minor servant, [seal]
- d) The written content has been witnessed, the minor servant, [seal]
- e) I acknowledge the support of God Almighty with all the joy of God, after the sharia-conform sale has become valid, it has been written before me, [seal]
- f) Praise [...]
- g) It has been witnessed what is in it, Muḥammad Mahdī, [seal]
- h) [...] Muḥammad Rashīd, [seal]
- i) [seal]
- j) 'Azīz Beg, brother of Muḥammad Taqī, [seal]

Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to the European Research Commission and the Lawforms project which provided funding for the research presented in this article. I also thank the European Research Commission and the Lawforms project for providing funds to publish this article, and the entire issue, in Open Access format.

Bibliography

- 'Āmilī, Bahā' al-Dīn Muḥammad. 1388sh/2009. *Jāmi'-i 'Abbāsī: risāla-yi 'amalīya, bā ḥawāshī-yi hasht faqī-i 'ālīmaqām*, Qum: Daftar-i intishārāt-i Islāmī.
- Amin, Sayed Hassan. 1988a. *Commercial Arbitration in Islamic and Iranian Law*. Tehran/Glasgow: Vahid (Tehran) and Royston (Glasgow).
- Amin, Sayed Hassan. 1988b. Changes in the Law of Arbitration in Iran. *Islamic and Comparative Law Quarterly* 8/1: 35-47.
- Beck, Sebastian. 1914. Neupersische Konversations-Grammatik mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der modernen Schriftsprache. Mit zahlreichen Schrifttafeln und Texten in den wichtigsten Schriftarten, einer Lichtdrucktafel mit Münzen und Banknoten, einer Sammlung von Dokumenten und Briefen, darunter ein Ferman in Vierfarbendruck. Heidelberg: Julius Groos.
- Beck, Sebastian. 1915. Schlüssel zur Neupersischen Konversations-Grammatik mit einer Einführung in den persischen Briefstil und in die selteneren persischen Schriftarten: Tä'lîq, Ţulút und Šěkästä-yě zěnǧîr. Heidelberg: Julius Groos.
- Bhalloo, Zahir. 2013. *The Qajar Jurist and his Ruling: A Study of Judicial Practice in Nineteenth Century Iran*. Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation, Oxford, Wadham College.
- Bhalloo, Zahir. 2014. Judging the Judge: Judicial Competence in 19th Century Iran. *Bulletin d'études orientales* 63: 275-93.
- Gilbar, Gad. 2008. The Rise and Fall of the Tujjār Councils of Representatives in Iran, 1884-85. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 51/4: 639-74.
- Hamilton, Charles. 1870. *The Hedaya: Commentary on the Islamic Laws*, trans. by C. Hamilton. Reprint of the 2nd edition from 1870, New Delhi: Kitab Bhavan, 1994.
- Ḥillī al-Muḥaqqiq al-Awwal, Jaʿfar b. al-Ḥasan al-. 1358sh/1997. *Tarjuma-ifārsī-i Sharāyiʿ al-Islām*, 2 vols., transl. Abū al-Qāsim b. Aḥmad Yazdī, ed. M. Taqī Dānish-Pazhūh. Tihrān: Intishārāt-i Danishgāh-i Tihrān.
- Jahānpūr, Fāṭima. 1380sh/2001. Barrasī-yi chand iṣṭilāḥ dar muṣālaḥa-nāma-hā. *Ganjīna-i Asnād* 11/41-2: 79-83.

- Khumainī, Rūḥallāh. 1371sh/1992. Risāla-i taużīḥ al-masā'il. 8th edition. Tihrān.
- Kondo, Nobuaki. 2017. *Islamic Law and Society in Iran: A Social History of Qajar Tehran.* London: Routledge.
- Lambton, Ann K.S. 1953. *Landlord and Peasant in Persia: A Study of Land Tenure and Land Revenue Administration*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Lockhart, Laurence. 1938. *Nadir Shah: A critical study based mainly upon contemporary sources.* London: Luzac.
- Mahdawī, Aṣghar and Īraj Afshār, ed. 1380sh/2001. *Yazd dar asnād-i Amīn al-Żarb* (*sālhā-vi 1288-1330 qamarī*). Tihrān: Talāya.
- Mokri, M. 1963. Etude d'un titre de propriété du début du XVIe siècle provenant du Kurdistan. *Journal Asiatique* 251: 229-56.
- Mūsawiyān, Sayyid 'Abbās; Dāwud Naṣrābādī. 1388sh/2009. Ṭarāḥī-i awrāq-i waqf bar mabnā-yi 'aqd-i ṣulḥ wa waqf jihat-i ta'mīn-i mālī-i ṭarḥ-hā-yi 'āmm al-manfa'a. *Muṭālaʿāt-i Iqtiṣād-i Islāmī* no. 3: 39-68.
- Najafī (Ṣaḥib-i Jawāhir), Muḥammad Ḥasan b. Bāqir. 1274q/1858. *Jawāhir al-Kalām fī sharḥ Sharāyi* al-Islām. Volume 26: Kitāb al-ṣulḥ. Iṣfahān: Dār Iḥyā Turāth al-ʿArabī, digital text edition based on the lithograph by Markaz al-Qā'imīya at www.ghae miyeh.com (accessed 01.09.2020).
- Noth, Albrecht. 1973. Zum Verhältnis von kalifaler Zentralgewalt und Provinzen in umayyadischer Zeit: Die 'Şulḥ'-''Anwa'-Traditionen für Ägypten und den Iraq. *Die Welt des Islams* 14: 150-62.
- Othman, Aida. 2007. "And Amicable Settlement Is Best": Ṣulḥ and Dispute Resolution in Islamic Law. Arab Law Quarterly 21/1: 64-90.
- Owsia, Parviz. 1994. Formation of Contract: A Comparative Study under English, French, Islamic and Iranian Law. London: Graham & Trotman.
- Peirce, Leslie. 2003. *Morality Tales: Law and Gender in the Ottoman Court of Aintab.*Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Rajabzade, Hashem and Koichi Haneda, ed. 1997. Fifty Five Persian Documents of Qajar Period: "Persian Documents" Series No. 1. Tokyo: ILCAA. (Studia Culturae Islamicae 59).
- Rajabzadeh, Hashem, ed. 2019. *Eighty-Eight Documents on Irrigation from Qajar Iran*, with the cooperation of Kinji Eura and a preface by Kazuo Morimoto. Tokyo: Research and Information Center for Asian Studies/Institute for Advanced Studies on Asia, The University of Tokyo. ("Persian Documents" Series, 6).
- Riżā'ī, Umīd. 2008. *Dar-āmadī bar asnād-i shar'ī-yi dawra-yi Qājār* [Introduction to Shari'a Documents from Qajar Iran]. Tokyo: ILCAA. (Studia Culturae Islamicae, 92).
- Santillana, David. 1925/1938. *Istituzioni di diritto musulmano malichita: con riguardo anche al sistema sciafiita.* 2 vols. Roma: Istituto per l'Oriente.

- Sartori, Paolo. 2011. The Evolution of Third-Party Mediation in *Sharī'a* Courts in 19th-and early 20th-century Central Asia. *Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient* 54/3: 311-52.
- Sartori, Paolo. 2017. Visions of Justice: Sharī'a and Cultural Change in Russian Central Asia. Leiden: Brill. (Handbuch der Orientalistik: Section 8 Uralic and Central Asian Studies, 24).
- Schneider, Irene. 2002. Muḥammad Bāqir Šaftī (1180-1260/1766-1844) und die Isfahaner Gerichtsbarkeit. *Der Islam* 79/2: 240-73.
- Shaftī, Muhammad Bāqir al-. 1258q/1842. *Kitāb-i suʾāl va jawāb*. Tihrān (lithograph).
- Shīrāzī Ḥamzawī, 'Alī Muḥammad. 1327q/1909. *Sayyid al-inshā'-i naw-ṣuhūr*. Bombay: Maṭba'-i Fayż-rasān (lithograph).
- Sutūda, Manūchihr, ed. 1350sh/1971 to 1355sh/1976. *Az Āstārā tā Istārbād*. 8 vols. Tihrān: Intishārāt-i Anjuman-i Āṣār-i Millī.
- Tamdoğan, Işık. 2008. *Sulh* and the 18th Century Ottoman Courts of Üsküdar and Adana. *Islamic Law and Society* 15/1: 55-83.
- Ţūsī, Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan ʿAlī al-. 1349-51sh/1970-72. *Al-Mabsūṭ fī fiqh al-imāmīya*, ed. M. Taqī al-Kashfī, 8 vols. Tihrān.
- Werner, Christoph. 2000. What is a Mujtahid? Functions and Stratification of Tabrizi Ulama in the Early Qajar Period. Islamic Area Studies, Working Paper Series 19, Tokyo.
- Werner, Christoph. 2003. Formal Aspects of Qajar Deeds of Sale. In *Persian Documents*, ed. Nobuaki Kondo. London: Routledge: 13-49.
- Wichard, Johannes Christian. 1995. Zwischen Markt und Moschee. Wirtschaftliche Bedürfnisse und religiöse Anforderungen im frühen islamischen Vertragsrecht. Paderborn: Schöningh.
- Women's Worlds in Qajar Iran, Harvard University Press, directed by Afsaneh Najmabadi, www.qajarwomen.org (accessed 01.02.2020).